



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOx 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/976,009	10/15/2001	Neil John Hursey	01.143.01	9583	
7590 04/11/2006			EXAMINER		
Zilka-Kotab, PC			COLIN, CARL G		
P.O. Box 72112	20				
San Jose, CA 95172-1120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2136	•	

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/976,009	HURSEY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Carl Colin	2136		

	Carl Colin	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	•			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains. 	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 	ow);		the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-12,14-21,23-30,32-39,41-48 and</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>d 50-54</u> .	•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a and sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will wit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fo	ails to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the affidavit or other evidence is entered.	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
101 had 0 11011	4	CHRISTOPHER F	INER			
		COl Ulal	Δĺ			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the prior art does not disclose or suggests any sort of registration, whereas Applicant concedes that Lahti teaches a register that contains record of all the subscribers to the anti-virus service, therefore it is inherent or obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that there is teaching and/or suggestion of registration of the subscribers in order for the center to have the records of the subscribers that subscribe to the service. In response to Applicant's argument that Lahti only discloses messages that contain updates and not files, Examiner asserts that Lahti discloses and suggests several ways of obtaining updates: in one embodiment, updates can be recorded in floppy disk, compact discs, etc (par 21, lines 1-4). In response to Applicant's argument that Lahti does not disclose a database that includes a type of mobile device, this limitation is already addressed by Examiner as cited in paragraphs 23-26, the server generates a corresponding update upon request from the subscriber. The center contains all records in the database and Lahti further discloses several messages can be sent from the centre to convey all necessary information to perform a database update (par 23-24). For at least the reasons cited above and in the Office action, the request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance.